

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 GENEVA 04569 171814Z

51

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-10 ISO-00 COME-00 AS-01 ACDE-00

ERDA-05 AF-06 ARA-06 CIAE-00 DODE-00 EA-06 PM-03 H-02

INR-07 L-03 NASA-01 NEA-10 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02

SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01 OES-03 SS-15 USIA-06 SAJ-01 ERDE-00

/119 W

----- 071861

P R 171728Z JUN 75

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 3809

INFO USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

S E C R E T GENEVA 4569

GENEVA ENMOD MESSAGE NUMBER ONE.

DEPARTMENT PASS TO NOAA

EO 11652: XGDS-3 DATE IMPOSSIBLE TO DETERMINE

TAGS: PARM CCD UN US UR

SUBJ: ENMOD - FEDOROV-DAVIES CONVERSATION, JUNE 16

BEGIN SUMMARY: FEDOROV POSTPONED FIRST PLENARY UNTIL JUNE 17. AT DINNER WITH DAVIES HE STRESSED THEME THAT THE OBJECTIVE OF THIS ROUND OF EXPERTS' TALKS SHOULD BE THE PREPARATION OF A JOINT US-SOVIET TREATY DRAFT. END SUMMARY.

1. SOVIET DELEGATION HEAD FEDOROV PROPOSED TO PUT OFF FIRST PLENARY UNTIL JUNE 17 AND INVITED DAVIES, GIVAN AND BARAZ TO DINNER AT SOVIET MISSION INSTEAD. SEDUNOV AND KASHIRIN ALSO ATTENDED.

2. AT DINNER FEDOROV NOTED THAT THE US HAD RECEIVED THE REVISED SOVIET TREATY DRAFT, AND ASKED DAVIES IF THERE  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 GENEVA 04569 171814Z

WAS NOT A BASIS FOR AN AGREED DRAFT.

3. DAVIES EXPRESSED APPRECIATION FOR THE CHANGES IN THE SOVIET DRAFT WHICH REPRESENTED MOVEMENT TOWARD THE US POSITION. HE NOTED THAT THERE WERE A NUMBER OF POINTS ON WHICH SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES AND DRAFTING PROBLEMS REMAINED. HE SINGLED OUT AS THE TWO MAJOR PROBLEMS THE SOVIET OMMISSION OF THE "WIDESPREAD, LONG-LASTING OR SEVERE" FORMULA FROM ARTICLE I AND THE SOVIET PROPOSAL TO BAN MILITARY R&D.

4. DAVIES TOLD FEDOROV THAT, WHILE FURTHER WORK ON DRAFTS WOULD BE USEFUL, HE SUPPOSED THAT THESE KEY ISSUES WOULD NOT BE SETTLED DURING CURRENT ROUND OF TALKS. AFTER TELLING FEDOROV THAT US HAD MADE SOME REVISIONS IN ITS DRAFT WHICH WOULD BE DISCUSSED WITH SOVIETS IN PLENARY, DAVIES SAID HE EXPECTED US WOULD TABLE ITS DRAFT EARLY IN CCD.

5. FEDOROV PROFESSED DISAPPOINTMENT, SAYING THAT NEW SOVIET DRAFT WAS CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE US TABLED ITS DRAFT, FEDOROV SAID THE USSR WOULD BE FORCED TO DEFEND ITS OLD DRAFT WHICH WAS ON THE TABLE AND HAD NOT YET BEEN DISCUSSED.

6. FEDOROV ARGUES THAT THE EXPERTS SHOULD DEVOTE THEIR EFFORTS TO WORKING OUT AN AGREED TEXT. HE PRESSED DAVIES TO ANSWER QUESTION WHETHER US WOULD INSIST ON TABLING A UNILATERAL DRAFT. EVEN IF A JOINT ONE COULD BE REACHED.

7. DAVIES REPLIED THAT A JOINT TEXT WOULD, OF COURSE, BE DESIRABLE, AND AGREED THAT THE EXPERTS SHOULD GO AS FAR IN THAT DIRECTION AS POSSIBLE. FEDOROV ACCEPTED THIS AND ACKNOWLEDGED THAT, IF AGREEMENT ON A JOINT TEXT WAS NOT ACHIEVED, THE US WOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO TABLE ITS OWN DRAFT.

8. IN CONTEXT OF DAVIES STATEMENT THAT WE INTEND TO TABLE DRAFT AT CCD, FEDOROV COMMENTED THAT HE HAD NO INSTRUCTIONS WITH REGARD TO SUBSEQUENT HANDLING OF ANY  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 GENEVA 04569 171814Z

JOINT DRAFT ONCE IT COULD BE AGREED BY DELEGATIONS. THE FACT THAT A JOINT DRAFT HAD BEEN AGREED TO WOULD NOT BE RELEASED TO THE PRESS BY THE DELEGATIONS. THE GOVERNEMENTS WOULD HAVE TO DECIDE ON THE NEXT STEP, E.G., WHETHER TO TABLE THE JOINT DRAFT AT CCD, SIGN AGREEMENT, OR ON SOME OTHER (UNSPECIFIED) ACTION.

9. ALTHOUGH FEDOROV PRESSED THE THEME OF REACHING AN

AGREED DRAFT, HE DID NOT INDICATE THAT HE WAS PREPARED TO DROP EITHER THE SOVIET DEMAND TO BAN ALL HOSTILE USE OF WEATHER MODIFICATION OR THEIR INSISTANCE ON PROHIBITING MILITARY R&D. HIS STRESS ON REACHING A JOINT DRAFT COULD TURN OUT TO BE NOTHING MORE THAN A BARGAINING TACTIC.

10. FIRST PLENARY IS SCHEDULED FOR JUNE 17 AT THE US MISSION.  
DALE

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ENMOD, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 17 JUN 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975GENEVA04569  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X3  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750211-0151  
**From:** GENEVA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750644/aaaabnlt.tel  
**Line Count:** 124  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION ACDA  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 21 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <21 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <22 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** ENMOD - FEDOROV-DAVIES CONVERSATION, JUNE 16 BEGIN SUMMARY: FEDOROV POSTPONED FIRST PLENARY UNTIL JUNE  
**TAGS:** PARM, US, UR, CCD, UN  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006